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Background / Context

- In 2009, the Ministry of Community and Social Services divided into two ministries - Community and Social Services (adults) and Children and Youth Services (children). At the same time, youth justice services were transferred from corrections to the new children’s ministry.

- Services are delivered in three ways: directly, through transfers to municipalities and first nations and through transfer payment arrangements.

- The two ministries continued to share an operational footprint of nine regional offices and retained a four region structure for youth justice. They shared common business tools and similar business process expectations. However evolution of regional offices has resulted in variation in TP business processes across the province.
Overview of Operations

- Approximately 2500 staff in 13 regional offices and 42 local offices (includes direct delivery)

- ~$15B in programs, ~$6B in transfer payments (not including municipalities and first nations)

- ~1,330 TP Agencies:
  - Mainly non-profit social service agencies
  - 287 deliver programs for more than one of the operating divisions
  - ~600 receive funding from other ministries
  - ~30% deliver services in more than one region

- Transfer payment agreements are specific to each ministry and allocations were done regionally. An agency with services in 9 regions that served both adults and children could have 18 TP agreements.
Updates to TP Management

- In 2012, the ministries undertook a joint project to develop the business architecture for a shared TP management system. This exercise revealed:

1. Manual and duplicative process
2. Long overall processing times
3. High number of handoffs

- Issues were concentrated in 3 parts of the process
Transfer Payment (TP) Lean project

- Executive agreed to try a new approach - Lean Six Sigma - to reduce both waste and variation in the process

TP budget submission and service contract process
TP year-to-date reporting process
TP service contract amendment process

Goals:
1. Reduce variation
2. Reduce processing time and associated cost
3. Reduce handoffs

Results:
1. ~30% shorter lead time
2. ~45% reduction in the number of handoffs
Additional Results

- **Engagement:**
  - TP staff from all regions and all parts of the process were involved
  - Established agreed common processes and approaches across the regions using best practices developed in various local offices

- **Single Contract:**
  - Established a foundation for the creation of a single contract per agency approach (currently in pilot phase)
  - Improvement welcomed by TP stakeholders who were active participants

- **Enterprise-wide adoption:**
  - Project adopted as a model for enterprise-wide review of transfer payment management processes across all of government
The project received Deputy Minister's Bravo Award for Innovation and Creativity
WHAT DID WE DO?
Transfer Payment (TP) Lean Project Governance

- The Directors Steering Committee would oversee the implementation of the approved recommendations.

- Three TP Implementation Teams would be formed for each respective TP sub-process, structured and supported as follows:
  - Each team to be led by a Project Controller, supported by a TP Lean Project Analyst
  - FPBM to act as co-lead for each team
  - TP Lean Working Group to act as an advisory body
  - BIU to provide technical support as required

- The TP Implementation Teams would report to the Directors Steering Committee bi-monthly, and would provide secretariat services on a rotational basis.
Transfer Payment (TP) Lean project

- Shared the terms and conditions with directors and ADMs
- Reviewed and approved the project charter in 5 days workshop
- Trained a large group on Lean Six Sigma (LSS), RCA, solution design
- Divided the large group into 3 teams, each team with one facilitator
- Developed value stream mapping (VSM), determined bottleneck, develop feasible solution by each team
- Developed action plan and RACI matrix by large group
- Presented and reviewed the primary report by directors to finalize as workshop report
- Worked on action plan after the workshop, final report to present to steering committee
- Approved the report
- Assigned the project manager to implement the changes
## High Level Project Plan Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preparation</th>
<th>Solution Design</th>
<th>Report Preparation</th>
<th>Implementation and Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| - Kick off meetings  
- Terms and conditions  
- Review background  
- Interview preparation  
- Site visit  
- Review processes performance metrics  
- 5 day workshop approved | - Project charter  
- General training on LSS and tools  
- 3 smaller groups  
- VS Mapping  
- Prioritizing the process and develop process  
- Develop process improvement framework  
- Develop action plan | - Prepare and provide the workshop report  
- Presenting the final project report | - Reduce the number of hand offs within the Transfer Payment process by 50%  
- Reduce the processing time within the sub-process by up to 40%  
- Reduce the delay time by 40%  
- Significantly reduce redundant data collection  
- Ensure consistency of processes within different divisions  
- Some of the above solutions required policy changes and were recommended to the policy department for consideration |
Measuring What Matters: INCLUDING IMPACT AND ROI
The “New” Definition of Value

Value Must:

• Be balanced, with qualitative and quantitative data
• Contain financial and non-financial perspectives
• Reflect strategic and tactical issues
• Satisfy all key stakeholders
• Be consistent in collection and analysis
• Be grounded in conservative standards
• Come from credible sources
The “Show Me” Evolution

Term

Show Me!

Show Me the Money!

Show Me the Real Money!

Show Me the Real Money,
And Make me Believe it!

Issue

Collect Impact Data

And Convert Data to Money

And Isolate the Effects of the Project

And Compare the Money
to the Cost of the Project
Six Types of Measures

- Reaction and Planned Action
- Learning
- Application
- Business Impact
- Return on Investment
- Intangible Measures
CEO Survey

*Fortune 500 and Top Private Organizations*

- Surveys administered between November 2008 & February 2009
- Completed directly by CEO
- 10 actions taken to increase response rate
- 96 returned
- 21% response rate
## The Executive View of Metrics*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>We currently measure this</th>
<th>We should measure this in the future</th>
<th>My ranking of the importance of this measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Inputs:</strong> “Last year, 78,000 employees received formal learning.”</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Efficiency:</strong> “Formal learning costs $2.15 per hour of learning consumed.”</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Reaction:</strong> “Employees rated our training very high, averaging 4.2 out of 5.”</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Learning:</strong> “92% of participants increased knowledge and skills”</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CEO Survey—Fortune 500 and Large Private Companies, ROI Institute N=96 Respondents*
## The Executive View of Metrics*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>We currently measure this</th>
<th>We should measure this in the future</th>
<th>My ranking of the importance of this measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. <strong>Application:</strong> “At least 78% of employees are using the skills on the job”</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. <strong>Impact:</strong> “Our programs are driving our top 5 business measures in the organization.”</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. <strong>ROI:</strong> “Five ROI studies were conducted on major programs yielding an average of 68% ROI.”</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. <strong>Awards:</strong> “Our learning and development program won an award from American Society for Training and Development”</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CEO Survey—Fortune 500 and Large Private Companies, ROI Institute N=96 Respondents
5 Levels of Measurement - Examples

Level 0 Input and Indicators

• Number of programs
• Participants
• Hours
• Requests
• Efficiencies
• Costs
• Time to Deliver

*Correlates with Application

Level 1 Reaction and Planned Action

• Relevance*
• Importance*
• Usefulness
• Appeal
• Emotion
• Brevity
• Uniqueness
• Concreteness
• New Information*
• Motivation
• Appropriateness
• Intent to Use*
5 Levels of Measurement - Examples

Level 2 Learning

- Information
- Knowledge
- Understanding
- Capability
- Contacts
- Confidence
- Perceptions
- Skills

Level 3 Application

- Use of Information
- Use of Knowledge
- Use of Skill
- Completion of Actions
- Completion of Tasks
- Implementation of Ideas
- Use of Procedures
- Use of Regulation
- Success with Application
- Barriers
- Enablers
5 Levels of Measurement - Examples

Level 4 Business Impact
• Productivity
• Quality
• Errors
• Incidents
• Re-Work
• Efficiency
• Compliance Discrepancies
• Complaints
• Cost reduction
• Employee Engagement
• Employee Retention

• Service Delivery
• Cycle Time
• Customer Satisfaction
• Intangible Measures

.... includes a technique to isolate the effects of the communication project.

Level 5 Return on Investment
• ROI (%)
• Benefit/Cost Ratio
• Payback Period
An evaluation system must have five elements:

- An Evaluation Framework
- Applications and Practice
- A Process Model
- Operating Standards and Philosophy
- Implementation
Chain of Impact

Reaction & Planned Action

→ Learning

→ Application & Implementation

Isolate the Effects of the Program

Impact

ROI

Intangible Benefits
The ROI Methodology

Evaluation Planning

Data Collection

Level 1: Reaction, Satisfaction, and Planned Actions

Level 3: Application/Implementation

Level 2:

Level 4:

Collect Data During Solution Implementation

Collect Data After Solution Implementation

Develop Objectives of Solution

Develop Evaluation Plans and Baseline Data
The ROI Methodology

1. Isolate the Effects
2. Convert Data to Monetary Value
3. Capture Costs of Solution
4. Calculate the Return on Investment
5. Generate Impact Study

Level 5: ROI

Intangible Measures

Data Analysis

Reporting
ROI Calculation

\[
\text{ROI} = \frac{\text{Net Project Benefits}}{\text{Project Costs}}
\]

Cost of project $230,000
Benefits of project (1st year) $430,000

\[
\text{ROI} = \frac{\$430,000 - \$230,000}{\$230,000} = 0.87 \times 100 = 87\%
\]
## Evaluation Framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Measurement Focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Reaction and Planned Action</td>
<td>Measures participant reaction to the program and captures planned action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Learning</td>
<td>Measures changes in knowledge and skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Application</td>
<td>Measures implementation, action, and changes in behavior on the job.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Return on Investment</td>
<td>Compares monetary benefits of the impact of the program.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Guiding Principles

1. When conducting a higher-level evaluation, collect data at lower levels.
2. When planning a higher level evaluation, the previous level of evaluation is not required to be comprehensive.
3. When collecting and analyzing data, use only the most credible sources.
4. When analyzing data, select the most conservative alternatives for calculations.
5. Use at least one method to isolate the effects of the program or project.
6. If no improvement data are available for a population or from a specific source, assume that no improvement has occurred.
7. Adjust estimates of improvements for the potential error of the estimates.
8. Avoid use of extreme data items and unsupported claims when calculating ROI calculations.
9. Use only the first year of annual benefits in the ROI analysis of short-term solutions.
10. Fully load all costs of the solution, project, or program when analyzing ROI.
11. Intangible measures are defined as measures that are purposely not converted to monetary values.
12. Communicate the results of the ROI Methodology to all key stakeholders.
The ROI Process

A comprehensive measurement and evaluation process that generates six types of measures:
1. Reaction and Planned Action
2. Learning
3. Application
4. Business Impact
5. Return on Investment
6. Intangible Measures

This balanced approach to measurement includes a technique to isolate the effect of the program or solution.
Results-Based Solutions

- Performance solutions/projects are initiated, developed and delivered with the end in mind.
- Participants understand their responsibility to obtain results with programs/solutions.
- Support groups (management, supervisors, co-workers, etc.) help to achieve results from performance solutions.
- A comprehensive measurement and evaluation system is in place for each program/project.
- Variety of approaches utilized to measure contribution, representing a balanced viewpoint.
- Follow-up evaluations (Application, Impact, and ROI) are developed for targeted solutions/projects and results are reported to a variety of stakeholders.
Alignment Model

Start Here

Business Needs

Performance Needs

Learning Needs

Preference Needs

Project

Payoff Needs

Reaction Objectives

Learning Objectives

Application Objectives

Impact Objectives

ROI Objectives

End Here

ROI

Establishing ROI

ROI Process Model

The ROI Process Model
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Reaction Objectives

Learning Objectives
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Impact Objectives

ROI Objectives

Business Needs

Performance Needs

Learning Needs

Preference Needs
**The Alignment Process**

**Payoff Needs**
- Absenteeism is costing $10,000 monthly.

**Business Needs**
- Unexpected absenteeism is 9% and growing; benchmark data is at 5%.

**Job Performance Needs**
- Discussions between team member and supervisor are not occurring when there is an unplanned absence.

**Initial Analysis**
- Deficiency in counseling discussion skills.

**Preference Needs**
- One-day counseling skills workshop must provide usable necessary and relevant skills; facilitator-led; participants are supervisors.

**Learning Needs**

1. **Reaction Objectives**
   - Program receives favorable rating of 4 out of 5 on need for program, relevance of the program, and the practicality of program.

2. **Learning Objectives**
   - Be able to demonstrate the use of counseling skills.

3. **Application Objectives**
   - Counseling discussions conducted in 95% of situations when an unexpected absence occurs.

4. **Impact Objectives**
   - Reduce absenteeism to 5% six months after course.

5. **ROI Objectives**
   - ROI of 25%

**V-Model Example**

**The ROI Process Model**

**Project**

**End Here**
- ROI
- Calculate ROI

**ROI of 25%**
- Reduce absenteeism to 5% six months after course

**Application**
- Follow-up questionnaire to participants to check frequency of discussions – three months

**Learning**
- Skills practice session during program

**Measurement and Evaluation**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months

**Impact**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months

**Application**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months
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- Absenteeism is costing $10,000 monthly.

**Business Needs**
- Unexpected absenteeism is 9% and growing; benchmark data is at 5%.

**Job Performance Needs**
- Discussions between team member and supervisor are not occurring when there is an unplanned absence.

**Initial Analysis**
- Deficiency in counseling discussion skills.

**Preference Needs**
- One-day counseling skills workshop must provide usable necessary and relevant skills; facilitator-led; participants are supervisors.

**Learning Needs**

1. **Reaction Objectives**
   - Program receives favorable rating of 4 out of 5 on need for program, relevance of the program, and the practicality of program.

2. **Learning Objectives**
   - Be able to demonstrate the use of counseling skills.

3. **Application Objectives**
   - Counseling discussions conducted in 95% of situations when an unexpected absence occurs.

4. **Impact Objectives**
   - Reduce absenteeism to 5% six months after course.

5. **ROI Objectives**
   - ROI of 25%

**V-Model Example**

**The ROI Process Model**

**Project**

**End Here**
- ROI
- Calculate ROI

**ROI of 25%**
- Reduce absenteeism to 5% six months after course

**Application**
- Follow-up questionnaire to participants to check frequency of discussions – three months

**Learning**
- Skills practice session during program

**Measurement and Evaluation**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months

**Impact**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months

**Application**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months

**Payoff Needs**
- Absenteeism is costing $10,000 monthly.

**Business Needs**
- Unexpected absenteeism is 9% and growing; benchmark data is at 5%.

**Job Performance Needs**
- Discussions between team member and supervisor are not occurring when there is an unplanned absence.

**Initial Analysis**
- Deficiency in counseling discussion skills.

**Preference Needs**
- One-day counseling skills workshop must provide usable necessary and relevant skills; facilitator-led; participants are supervisors.

**Learning Needs**

1. **Reaction Objectives**
   - Program receives favorable rating of 4 out of 5 on need for program, relevance of the program, and the practicality of program.

2. **Learning Objectives**
   - Be able to demonstrate the use of counseling skills.

3. **Application Objectives**
   - Counseling discussions conducted in 95% of situations when an unexpected absence occurs.

4. **Impact Objectives**
   - Reduce absenteeism to 5% six months after course.

5. **ROI Objectives**
   - ROI of 25%

**V-Model Example**

**The ROI Process Model**

**Project**

**End Here**
- ROI
- Calculate ROI

**ROI of 25%**
- Reduce absenteeism to 5% six months after course

**Application**
- Follow-up questionnaire to participants to check frequency of discussions – three months

**Learning**
- Skills practice session during program

**Measurement and Evaluation**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months

**Impact**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months

**Application**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months

**Payoff Needs**
- Absenteeism is costing $10,000 monthly.

**Business Needs**
- Unexpected absenteeism is 9% and growing; benchmark data is at 5%.

**Job Performance Needs**
- Discussions between team member and supervisor are not occurring when there is an unplanned absence.

**Initial Analysis**
- Deficiency in counseling discussion skills.

**Preference Needs**
- One-day counseling skills workshop must provide usable necessary and relevant skills; facilitator-led; participants are supervisors.

**Learning Needs**

1. **Reaction Objectives**
   - Program receives favorable rating of 4 out of 5 on need for program, relevance of the program, and the practicality of program.

2. **Learning Objectives**
   - Be able to demonstrate the use of counseling skills.

3. **Application Objectives**
   - Counseling discussions conducted in 95% of situations when an unexpected absence occurs.

4. **Impact Objectives**
   - Reduce absenteeism to 5% six months after course.

5. **ROI Objectives**
   - ROI of 25%

**V-Model Example**

**The ROI Process Model**

**Project**

**End Here**
- ROI
- Calculate ROI

**ROI of 25%**
- Reduce absenteeism to 5% six months after course

**Application**
- Follow-up questionnaire to participants to check frequency of discussions – three months

**Learning**
- Skills practice session during program

**Measurement and Evaluation**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months

**Impact**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months

**Application**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months

**Payoff Needs**
- Absenteeism is costing $10,000 monthly.

**Business Needs**
- Unexpected absenteeism is 9% and growing; benchmark data is at 5%.

**Job Performance Needs**
- Discussions between team member and supervisor are not occurring when there is an unplanned absence.

**Initial Analysis**
- Deficiency in counseling discussion skills.

**Preference Needs**
- One-day counseling skills workshop must provide usable necessary and relevant skills; facilitator-led; participants are supervisors.

**Learning Needs**

1. **Reaction Objectives**
   - Program receives favorable rating of 4 out of 5 on need for program, relevance of the program, and the practicality of program.

2. **Learning Objectives**
   - Be able to demonstrate the use of counseling skills.

3. **Application Objectives**
   - Counseling discussions conducted in 95% of situations when an unexpected absence occurs.

4. **Impact Objectives**
   - Reduce absenteeism to 5% six months after course.

5. **ROI Objectives**
   - ROI of 25%

**V-Model Example**

**The ROI Process Model**

**Project**

**End Here**
- ROI
- Calculate ROI

**ROI of 25%**
- Reduce absenteeism to 5% six months after course

**Application**
- Follow-up questionnaire to participants to check frequency of discussions – three months

**Learning**
- Skills practice session during program

**Measurement and Evaluation**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months

**Impact**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months

**Application**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months

**Payoff Needs**
- Absenteeism is costing $10,000 monthly.

**Business Needs**
- Unexpected absenteeism is 9% and growing; benchmark data is at 5%.

**Job Performance Needs**
- Discussions between team member and supervisor are not occurring when there is an unplanned absence.

**Initial Analysis**
- Deficiency in counseling discussion skills.

**Preference Needs**
- One-day counseling skills workshop must provide usable necessary and relevant skills; facilitator-led; participants are supervisors.

**Learning Needs**

1. **Reaction Objectives**
   - Program receives favorable rating of 4 out of 5 on need for program, relevance of the program, and the practicality of program.

2. **Learning Objectives**
   - Be able to demonstrate the use of counseling skills.

3. **Application Objectives**
   - Counseling discussions conducted in 95% of situations when an unexpected absence occurs.

4. **Impact Objectives**
   - Reduce absenteeism to 5% six months after course.

5. **ROI Objectives**
   - ROI of 25%

**V-Model Example**

**The ROI Process Model**

**Project**

**End Here**
- ROI
- Calculate ROI

**ROI of 25%**
- Reduce absenteeism to 5% six months after course

**Application**
- Follow-up questionnaire to participants to check frequency of discussions – three months

**Learning**
- Skills practice session during program

**Measurement and Evaluation**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months

**Impact**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months

**Application**
- Monitor absenteeism records for six months

**Payoff Needs**
- Absenteeism is costing $10,000 monthly.

**Business Needs**
- Unexpected absenteeism is 9% and growing; benchmark data is at 5%.

**Job Performance Needs**
- Discussions between team member and supervisor are not occurring when there is an unplanned absence.

**Initial Analysis**
- Deficiency in counseling discussion skills.

**Preference Needs**
- One-day counseling skills workshop must provide usable necessary and relevant skills; facilitator-led; participants are supervisors.

**Learning Needs**

1. **Reaction Objectives**
   - Program receives favorable rating of 4 out of 5 on need for program, relevance of the program, and the practicality of program.

2. **Learning Objectives**
   - Be able to demonstrate the use of counseling skills.

3. **Application Objectives**
   - Counseling discussions conducted in 95% of situations when an unexpected absence occurs.

4. **Impact Objectives**
   - Reduce absenteeism to 5% six months after course.

5. **ROI Objectives**
   - ROI of 25%
Methods to Isolate Program Effects

- Use of a control group arrangement
- Trend line analysis of performance data
- Use of forecasting methods of performance data
- Participant’s estimate of program impact (percent)
- Supervisor’s estimate of program impact (percent)
- Manager’s estimate of program impact
- Use of experts/previous studies
- Calculate/estimate the impact of other factors
- Customer input
Isolating the Effects of the Program

Benchmarking Data

Method

• Control Groups
• Trend Line Analysis
• Forecasting Methods
• Participant Estimates
• Manager Estimates
• Sr. Management Estimates
• Expert Input
• Customer Input
* Survey of Users, N = 235

Frequency

60%
45%
30%
15%
0%

32% 29% 5% 55% 37% 11%
Data are Converted by

- Converting output to contribution - standard value
- Converting the cost of quality - standard value
- Converting employee’s time - standard value
- Using historical costs
- Using internal and external experts
- Using data from external databases
- Linking with other measures
- Using participants’ estimates
- Using supervisors’ and managers’ estimates
- Using staff estimates
# Cost Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Item</th>
<th>Prorated</th>
<th>Expensed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Needs Assessment</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design and Development</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition/Purchase (if applicable)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery/Implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Salaries/Benefits-Facilitator Time</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Salaries/Benefits-Coordination Time</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Program Materials and Fees</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Travel/Lodging/Meals</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Salaries/Benefits-Participant Time</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Operating Expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing Operations cost (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Typical Intangible Benefits

- Adaptability
- Awards
- Brand awareness
- Career minded
- Caring
- Collaboration
- Communication
- Conflicts

- Cooperation
- Corporate social responsibility
- Creativity
- Culture
- Complaints
- Response time
- Customer satisfaction
- Decisiveness
Typical Intangible Benefits

- Employee complaints
- Engagement
- Execution
- Image
- Innovation
- Job satisfaction
- Leadership
- Networking

- Organizational climate
- Organizational commitment
- Partnering
- Reputation
- Resilience
- Stress
- Talent
- Teamwork
ROI is Reported in Two Ways

Benefits-Cost Ratio (BCR) = \frac{\text{Program Benefits}}{\text{Program Costs}}

ROI (\%) = \frac{\text{Net Program Benefits}}{\text{Program Costs}} \times 100
ROI Methodology: The Payoff

- Align projects/programs to business needs
- Show contributions of selected projects
- Earn respect of senior management/administrators
- Build staff morale
- Justify/defend budgets
- Improve support for projects
- Enhance design and implementation processes
- Identify inefficient projects that need to be redesigned or eliminated
- Identify successful projects that can be implemented in other areas
- Enhance the value of the program - showing ROI
Have No Fear

- ROI is a process improvement tool - designed to improve projects and programs
- ROI is not designed for performance review for individuals
- Every study reveals opportunities for changes
- Negative results represent the best opportunity to learn
- Negative results have a positive story
- Don’t wait for a sponsor to ask for Impact and ROI
Implementation Strategies

- Brief, train, educate
- Involve the staff - early and often
- Emphasize process improvement
- Explain why - routinely
- Build it into programs - not add it on
- Provide resources
- Use the results appropriately
- Celebrate and recognize
ROI Myths

• ROI is too complex for most users.
• ROI is too expensive, consuming too many critical resources.
• If senior management does not require ROI, there is no need to pursue it.
• ROI is a passing fad.
• ROI is too subjective.
• ROI is for post analysis only.
**ROI Reality**

- Impact/ROI information is desired by clients/executives
- The impact/ROI process provides a balanced, credible approach with six types of data
- All types of organizations are routinely using impact/ROI
- The impact/ROI process can be implemented without draining resources
- The impact/ROI process is a long-term goal for many organizations
What’s Next

- **We will work on calculating the ROI**